

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,587	•	09/27/2001	Sundar J. Rajan	54676US002	2684
32692	7590	05/10/2004	•	EXAMINER	
3M INNO	VITAVC	VE PROPERTIES CO	CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN		
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1772	

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/937,587	RAJAN ET AL.					
Autroory Modell	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alicia Chevalier	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 02 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>20-36</u> .							
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/937,587

Art Unit: 1772

Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It remains the Examiner's position that the claims are unpatentable for reasons previously of record in the final office action.

RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S ARGUEMETNS

1. Applicant's arguments in the April 2, 2004 regarding the limitation "radiation cure" of record have been carefully considered but are deemed unpersuasive.

Applicant argues that "radiation cure" is explicitly defined in the specification on page 15, lines 11-20 and that the Examiner's positon is contrary to Applicant's definition. The language used in this particular passage *does not* spefically define that the "radiation cure" is only ultraviolet radiation, visible radation, electron beam radation, or combinations thereof. The language is not exclusionary of other types of curable radiations. Specifically, the specification states "as used herein 'radiation cure' or 'radiation curable' refers to curing mechinisms that involve ...," which implies that the curing mechinisms that follow are preferred but are not solely limited to. As stated in the advisory action mailed March 29, 2004; during patent examineration, the pending claims must be "given their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." MPEP 2111. Giving the limitation "radiation cured coating" its broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification, it is a coating that is cured by any type of radiation. Applicant is advised to add a markush group with the radiation types

Art Unit: 1772

suggested in the specification to claim 1 if they want it the claim to be solely limitated to those types of radiation.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1490. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ac

4/16/04

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

4/16/04

PERVISORY PATERAL